

## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Offic

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR FILING DATE APPLICATION NO. 09/202,104 04/30/99 VAN LEENGOED L 3890US **EXAMINER** HM12/0508 TRASK BRITT & ROSSA PRASAD, S PAPER NUMBER 525 SOUTH 300 EAST ART UNIT PO BOX 2550 SALT LAKE CITY UT 84110 1646 DATE MAILED: 05/08/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	09/202,104	Van Leengoed et al.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Sarada C Prasad	1646
The MAILING DATE of this communicatio	n appears on the cover sheet v	rith the correspondence address
iod for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR I THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicatif the period for reply specified above is less than thirly (30) day.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor.  Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, I Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Responsive to Communication(s) filed at NO.	REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 TION.  CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, mation.  S, a reply within the statutory minimum of y period will apply and will expire SIX (b). My statute, cause the application to become mailing date of this communication, even on 16 January 2001  This action is non-final.  I rallowance except for formal under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 olication.  withdrawn from consideration	MONTH(S) FROM  If a reply be timely filed  whirty (30) days will be considered timely.  ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  If it it it it it it it is i
spplication Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are of  11) The proposed drawing correction filed  12) The oath or declaration is objected to  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	Examiner.  bjected to by the Examiner.  on is: a) approved by the Examiner.	b)∏ disapproved.
Certified copies of the priority of the p	documents have been receive of the priority documents have ational Bureau (PCT Rule 17. of for a list of the certified copie	been received in this National Stage (2(a)). es not received.
Attachment(s)  15) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  16) ☒ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review  17) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449)	(PTO-948) 19)	nterview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152 other:

Art Unit: 1646

1

## (1) Detailed Action

- This application is a 371 of PCT/NL97/00345. For applications filed under 371, PCT
   Rules for lack of unity apply.
- 2. This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept. Under PCT Rule 13.1 the following combinations of claims of different categories are permissible and restriction to one of the following combinations is required.

The Groups are as follows:

Group I: Claims 1, 4-12, 15-17, 20-24 are drawn to peptides exhibiting antagonistic activity directed against IL-6, and their methods of use.

Group II: Claims 13-15 are drawn to an antibody against peptides exhibiting antagonistic activity directed against IL-6.

Group III: Claims 18-19 are drawn to a diagnostic assay comprising a peptide exhibiting antagonistic activity directed against IL-6.

Group IV: Claim(s) 2, 25-37, 39-41, 44-48 are drawn to peptides exhibiting antagonistic activity directed against the  $\alpha$  or  $\beta$  chain of the IL-6 receptor and methods of using them.

Group V: Claim(s) 37-39 are drawn to an antibody against peptides exhibiting antagonistic activity directed against the  $\alpha$  or  $\beta$  chain of the IL-6 receptor.

Group VI: Claim(s) 42-43 are drawn to a diagnostic assay comprising peptides exhibiting antagonistic activity directed against the  $\alpha$  or  $\beta$  chain of the IL-6 receptor.

Group VII: Claims 3, 49-58, 61-63, 65-70 are drawn to peptides exhibiting antagonistic or agonistic IL-6 activity and methods of using them.

Group VIII: Claims 59-61, drawn to antibodies against peptides exhibiting antagonistic or agonistic IL-6 activity.

Group IX: Claims 64-65, drawn to a diagnostic assay comprising peptides exhibiting antagonistic or agonistic IL-6 activity.

Art Unit: 1646

These inventions listed as Groups I-IX do not relate to a single inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

The special technical features of Groups I, IV, and VII are considered to be the peptides exhibiting (i) antagonistic activity directed against IL-6 and methods of using them; or (ii) antagonistic activity directed against the  $\alpha$  or  $\beta$  chain of the IL-6 receptor and methods of using them; or (iii) antagonistic or agonistic IL-6 activity and methods of using them respectively.

Accordingly, Groups I, IV, and VII are not so linked by the same or corresponding technical feature as to form a single general inventive concept.

Furthermore, Groups II-III also contain claims not so linked to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1. For example: methods of using peptides to make antibodies in Group II are not considered to possess the same technical feature as methods of diagnostic assays as in group III and therefore these groups exhibit lack unity of invention.

In a similar manner, groups V-VI and VIII-IX corresponding to groups I and IV respectively, lack the same technical feature in that the peptides (groups IV and VII), antibodies to peptides (groups V and VIII), and diagnostic methods using peptides or antibodies (groups VI and IX) are not so linked to form a single general inventive concept.

The inventions I-IX do not meet the requirements for Unity of invention for the following reasons. The inventions do not share the special technical feature which defines a contribution which each of the inventions makes over the prior art. Several of the peptides are known to be of interest as diagnostic markers for in vitro use, or for therapy for in vivo use, or for antibody

Art Unit: 1646

preparation. Thus the same peptides have patentably distinct uses which are not related and hence a search for one would not reveal art for another.

Furthermore, Applicants are required to specify one specific polynucleotide or polypeptide sequence for examination. This requirement is made in view of 1192 O.G. 68 Notice (November 19, 1996), as the examination of more than one sequence in one application would result in an undue burden on the PTO. Based up on this requirement, no matter which invention is elected Applicants are required to elect a single peptide of specific SEQ ID NO for examination purposes.

Applicant is advised that the response to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Art Unit: 1646

## **Advisory Information**

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sarada C Prasad whose telephone number is 703-305-1009. The examiner can normally be reached Monday - Friday from 8.00 AM to 4.30 PM (Eastern time).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yvonne Eyler, can be reached on (703) 308-6564. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-308-0294.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0196.

Sarada Prasad, Ph.D. Examiner Art Unit 1646 May 7th, 2001

PREMA MERTZ PRIMARY EXAMINER